The American Physiological Society
International Early Career Physiologist Travel Award
Award: up to $1,000

SCORING RUBRIC

Eligibility

The applicant must:
• be a current APS member in good standing
• Must be studying for a doctoral degree or have received a doctoral degree within the past 15 years
• be a graduate student or postdoctoral fellow/medical resident; must not be above the rank of assistant professor or equivalent

Please note that the applicant may only apply to the Section to which their abstract was submitted. There are no citizenship requirements for this award.

Application Materials Required

The applicant must complete the online application and submit:
1) a copy of their abstract
   • A clearly stated hypothesis or aim
   • The technical approach to the study
   • The pertinent results obtained with quantitative and statistical comparisons, when appropriate
   • A clearly stated conclusion
   • The significance of the results to the field
   • This document should have 0.8-inch margins, 11-point font, single-spaced and must be a PDF

2) Biosketch (NIH format)
   • NIH biosketch sample
   • how to fill out an NIH biosketch video

3) Student Members must have their abstract sponsored by an APS Regular Member; if you cannot find a Regular Member to sponsor your abstract, please contact Thiago Moreira (tmoreira@icb.usp.br), Chair of the International Committee.

Note: Award recipients may only receive this award twice regardless of section affiliation.

Recipients may only accept one abstract-based award for the APS annual meeting.

Applicants will be rated relative to opportunity, including stage in career, career interruptions, etc.
**Application Rating Worksheet**

For each application, rate each of the following parameters using the rating point system in the table.

**NOTE:** The points indicated under each rating are the maximum score in that section. You may assign any value within the range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Outstanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstract (55 pts)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A clearly stated hypothesis or aim</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The technical approach to the study</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The pertinent results obtained with quantitative and statistical comparisons, when appropriate</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A clearly stated conclusion</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The significance of the results to the field</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biosketch (45 pts)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publications, abstracts, awards, (please consider career stage when reviewing and scoring)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>